



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/898,568

07/03/2001

Arvind Gupta

42390P11139

2719

8791

7590

11/20/2006

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

VU, TUAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2193

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09/898,568

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20061113

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Applicant's submission filed 8/23/06 maintains that claims 13-17 are still held as 'withdrawn', and this is indicated in Applicant's Remark (pg. 15, middle) in spite of the Notice of non-compliant Amendment mailed 7/20/06.

The above submission thus contains what appears to be an unresolved issue as identified in the above non-compliance Notice; hence is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action; the non-compliance is due improper withdrawal of claims (refer to CFR 1.141, 1.142, 1.176) as stated in the Notice of 7/20/06.

It is expected that the claims 13-17 are either (i) cancelled, OR (ii) reinstated in the Application accompanied with specific arguments in the Remarks section pointing out disagreement with Examiner's contentions in view the prior art being applied; and so according to 37 CFR 1.136(a). This is a case where a bone fide one-month extension will NOT be granted.

The period for reply will end six months (including extension of time) after the mailing date of the above Notice; and after such period has expired, this application will become abandoned unless applicant corrects the deficiency and obtains an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

The Amendments to the Claims will not be entered because the above non-compliance issue has not been corrected for the claims to be deemed in proper status to be entered.

Man Anshu

11-14-06